EXHIBIT M

Pages 1 - 48

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Lisa J. Cisneros, Magistrate Judge

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES,)
INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT)
LITIGATION.)

NO. 3:23-MD-03084-CRB

San Francisco, California Thursday, February 27, 2025

TRANSCRIPT OF REMOTE ZOOM PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES (VIA ZOOM):

For Plaintiffs:

CHAFFIN LUHANA, LLP 600 Third Avenue, 12th Floor New York, NY 10016 ROOPAL P. LUHANA ELIZABETH M. WILKINS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN LLP 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

BY: SARAH R. LONDON ATTORNEY AT LAW

PEIFFER, WOLF, CARR, KANE, CONWAY & WISE, LLP 2229 Trumbull Street Detroit, MI 48216

BY: TIFFANY R. ELLIS ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Appearances continued on following page.)

Reported By: Stephen W. Franklin, RMR, CRR, CPE

United States Reporter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any apparent errors that are taking place that's, you know, based on the original data itself and not necessarily based on production issues. We want them to do quality control before they produce, you know, the data test to ensure that it's accurate.

And lastly, they've produced and extracted all the safety data, and they've produced about 11 files, and now they're going to I believe reproduce them to only four files. So of course the data is being extrapolated and then produced in these four files. We just kinda want like an instructional manual just for them to explain to us what they've done to export the data, divvy it up. So then when we're building it up and our experts are utilizing it and analyzing it, we're doing it consistently and putting it together as it needs to be put together.

So we think it's a simple ask. And as I said, we have been working with the defendants and are almost there, but we certainly need finality here because we need to be able to utilize and rely upon the data.

MR. SHORTNACY: Judge, Michael Shortnacy speaking for the defendants.

I would say, I mean, I'm largely aligned with Ms. Luhana. We have been working together with Ms. Ellis, with Ms. Wilkins in a very cooperative and collaborative and transparent way.

One correction is that the defendants did comply with the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Court's order and produced data on 1/17 as required. There was additional work, and this was reflected in the last JSR that we There was additional work that we needed to do to submitted. de-identify certain fields, and so we quickly provide a replacement for that on January 23rd. So I just wanted to clarify that.

I think what in broad strokes what Ms. Luhana has outlined, we are working together and are probably on the 1-yard-line. We have, at plaintiffs' request, consolidated some of the files, because they come from different places. There's JIRA and there's Bliss. And so there were a number of different files as they were pulled out of the system that we've worked with plaintiffs on how to consolidate those files the way that they would like them to be, and we have also worked with them on, you know, working through some of the issues that have come up on the collections and understand their request for some level of certification. We have undertaken efforts to verify the collection efforts and are amenable to providing a certification along the lines of what Ms. Luhana is asking for, and I think the certifications that have previously been provided with respect to the fields that were collected have also been given to the plaintiff. So those certifications have been made.

I understand Ms. Luhana was asking for something more here, which in principle we are, I think, aliqued on. I think